- DEFINITION: Let G = (G,*) be a group. A subset H of the universe G is called a *subgroup* of G provided H is a group in its own right when the combining rule * is restricted to the elements of H.
- TASK 1: Let **5***Z* represent the set of all integer multiples of 5. Let's prove that **5***Z* is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, +)$.
- PART A: THE COMBINING RULE IS AN OPERATION ON 5Z.

Let a, b be members of 5Z.

Therefore, + is an operation on 5*Z*.

PART B: Do we need to worry about associativity of the operation on 5Z? Why or why not?

PART C: THE SET 5Z HAS AN IDENTITY UNDER +.

Let **e** be the identity element for the group $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, +)$.

Therefore, e serves as the identity element for 5Z under +.

PART D: EVERY MEMBER OF 5Z HAS AN INVERSE UNDER +.

Let a be any member of 5Z.

Therefore, a has in inverse in 5Z under +.

TASK 2: Let G = (G,*) be a group and let H be a subgroup of G.

- PART A: If the identity of G is a member of H, prove that this element serves as the identity of H.
- PART B: If an element of H serves as the identity for (H, *), prove that this element must also be the identity for G.

TASK 3: Let G = (G,*) be a group and let H be a subgroup of G. Let a,b be members of H. Prove that b is the inverse of a in (H,*) if and only if b is the inverse of a in G.

According to our subgroup definition, we have to check all four group axioms to determine whether or not a given subset is a subgroup of some group. It would be nice to have a shorter list of conditions to check that will still guarantee that a subset is a subgroup. Fortunately, such lists exist.

TASK 4: Let G = (G,*) be a group and let H be a subset of G. Come up with a shorter list of conditions that are both necessary (that is, must be true if) and sufficient (that is, guarantee) H is a subgroup of G.

TASK 5: Write a theorem based on your new list of conditions. If your theorem is an "if and only if" then state it this way. If it is not, then given a specific example to explain why.

THEOREM:

CLASS CONSENSUS:

TASK 6: Proof of the class consensus theorem:

TASK 7: Consider the following conjecture:

"Let G = (G,*) be a group and let H be a subset of G. If the combining rule * is an operation on H, then H is a subgroup of G."

PART A: Find a specific counterexample for this conjecture.

PART B: There is a subtle flaw in this "proof" of the conjecture. What is the flaw?

Because the subset is closed, only elements from the subset appear in a row of the table for the subset. So every element of the subset must appear in each row. Since element *A* must appear in the row for element *A*, the identity must be in the subset. Then since the identity must appear in the row for element *A*, the inverse of *A* must be in the subset. Finally, since associativity holds for the whole group it must hold for the subset. Therefore the subset is a group and hence a subgroup.

- PART C: There are situations where this argument would be valid. What are those situations?
- PART D: How could we modify the conjecture itself so that the argument above would serve as a proof?