## Chapter 14 <br> Introduction to Time Series Regression and Forecasting

14.1. (a) Since the probability distribution of $Y_{t}$ is the same as the probability distribution of $Y_{t-1}$ (this is the definition of stationarity), the means (and all other moments) are the same.
(b) $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} E\left(Y_{t-1}\right)+E\left(u_{t}\right)$, but $E\left(u_{t}\right)=0$ and $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=E\left(Y_{t-1}\right)$. Thus $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} E\left(Y_{t}\right)$, and solving for $E\left(Y_{t}\right)$ yields the result.
14.2. (a) The statement is correct. The monthly percentage change in IP is $\frac{I P_{t}-I P_{t-1}}{I P_{t-1}} \times 100$ which can be approximated by $\left[\ln \left(I P_{t}\right)-\ln \left(I P_{t-1}\right)\right] \times 100=100 \times \ln \left(\frac{I P_{t}}{I P_{t-1}}\right)$ when the change is small.
Converting this into an annual ( 12 month) change yields $1200 \times \ln \left(\frac{I P_{t}}{I P_{t-1}}\right)$.
(b) The values of $Y$ from the table are

| Date | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 : 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I P$ | 147.595 | 148.650 | 148.973 | 148.660 | 148.206 | 146.300 |
| $Y$ |  | 8.55 | 2.60 | -2.52 | -3.67 | -7.36 |

The forecasted value of $Y_{t}$ in January 2001 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{Y}_{t \mid-1}= & 1.377+[0.318 \times(-7.36)]+[0.123 \times(-3.67)] \\
& +[0.068 \times(-2.52)]+[0.001 \times(2.60)] \\
= & -1.58
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) The $t$-statistic on $Y_{t-12}$ is $t=\frac{-0.054}{0.053}=-1.0189$ with an absolute value less than 1.96 , so the coefficient is not statistically significant at the $5 \%$ level.
(d) For the QLR test, there are 5 coefficients (including the constant) that are being allowed to break. Compared to the critical values for $q=5$ in Table 14.5, the QLR statistic 3.45 is larger than the $10 \%$ critical value (3.26), but less than the $5 \%$ critical value (3.66). Thus the hypothesis that these coefficients are stable is rejected at the $10 \%$ significance level, but not at the 5\% significance level.
(e) There are $41 \times 12=492$ number of observations on the dependent variable. The BIC and AIC are calculated from the formulas $\operatorname{BIC}(p)=\ln \left(\frac{\operatorname{SSR}(p)}{T}\right)+(p+1) \frac{\ln T}{T}$ and $\operatorname{AIC}(p)=\ln \left(\frac{\operatorname{SSR}(p)}{T}\right)+(p+1) \frac{2}{T}$.

| AR Order $(\boldsymbol{p})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{SSR}(p)$ | 29175 | 28538 | 28393 | 28391 | 28378 | 28317 |
| $\ln \left[\frac{\operatorname{SSR}(p)}{T}\right]$ | 4.0826 | 4.0605 | 4.0554 | 4.0553 | 4.0549 | 4.0527 |
| $(p+1) \frac{\ln T}{T}$ | 0.0252 | 0.0378 | 0.0504 | 0.0630 | 0.0756 | 0.0882 |
| $(p+1) \frac{2}{T}$ | 0.0081 | 0.0122 | 0.0163 | 0.0203 | 0.0244 | 0.0285 |
| BIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIC | 4.1078 | 4.0983 | 4.1058 | 4.1183 | 4.1305 | 4.1409 |
|  | 4.0907 | 4.0727 | 4.0717 | 4.0757 | 4.0793 | 4.0812 |

The BIC is smallest when $p=2$. Thus the BIC estimate of the lag length is 2 . The AIC is smallest when $p=3$. Thus the AIC estimate of the lag length is 3 .
14.3. (a) To test for a stochastic trend (unit root) in $\ln (I P)$, the ADF statistic is the $t$-statistic testing the hypothesis that the coefficient on $\ln \left(I P_{t-1}\right)$ is zero versus the alternative hypothesis that the coefficient on $\ln \left(I P_{t-1}\right)$ is less than zero. The calculated $t$-statistic is $t=\frac{-0.018}{0.007}=-2.5714$.
From Table 14.4, the $10 \%$ critical value with a time trend is -3.12 . Because $-2.5714>-3.12$, the test does not reject the null hypothesis that $\ln (I P)$ has a unit autoregressive root at the $10 \%$ significance level. That is, the test does not reject the null hypothesis that $\ln (I P)$ contains a stochastic trend, against the alternative that it is stationary.
(b) The ADF test supports the specification used in Exercise 14.2. The use of first differences in Exercise 14.2 eliminates random walk trend in $\ln (I P)$.
14.4. (a) The critical value for the $F$-test is 2.372 at a $5 \%$ significance level. Since the Grangercausality $F$-statistic 2.35 is less than the critical value, we cannot reject the null hypothesis that interest rates have no predictive content for IP growth at the $5 \%$ level. The Grangercausality statistic is significant at the $10 \%$ level.
(b) The Granger-causality $F$-statistic of 2.87 is larger than the $5 \%$ critical value, so we conclude at the $5 \%$ significance level that IP growth helps to predict future interest rates.
14.7. (a) From Exercise (14.1) $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=2.5+0.7 E\left(Y_{t-1}\right)+E\left(u_{t}\right)$, but $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=E\left(Y_{t-1}\right)$ (stationarity) and $E\left(u_{t}\right)=0$, so that $E\left(Y_{t}\right)=2.5 /(1-0.7)$. Also, because $Y_{t}=2.5+0.7 Y_{t-1}+u_{t}, \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t}\right)=$ $0.7^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-1}\right)+\operatorname{var}\left(u_{t}\right)+2 \times 0.7 \times \operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)$. But $\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-1}\right)$ (stationarity), so that $\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t}\right)=9 /\left(1-0.7^{2}\right)=17.647$.
(b) The 1st autocovariance is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-1}\right) & =\operatorname{cov}\left(2.5+0.7 Y_{t-1}+u_{t}, Y_{t-1}\right) \\
& =0.7 \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-1}\right)+\operatorname{cov}\left(u_{t}, Y_{t-1}\right) \\
& =0.7 \sigma_{Y}^{2} \\
& =0.7 \times 17.647=12.353 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The 2nd autocovariance is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-2}\right) & =\operatorname{cov}\left[(1+0.7) 2.5+0.7^{2} Y_{t-2}+u_{t}+0.7 u_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}\right] \\
& =0.7^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-2}\right)+\operatorname{cov}\left(u_{t}+0.7 u_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}\right) \\
& =0.7^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2} \\
& =0.7^{2} \times 17.647=8.6471 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) The 1st autocorrelation is corr $\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-1}\right)}}=\frac{0.7 \sigma_{Y}^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}=0.7$.

The 2nd autocorrelation is corr $\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-2}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{t}, Y_{t-2}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(Y_{t-2}\right)}}=\frac{0.7^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}=0.49$.
(d) The conditional expectation of $Y_{T+1}$ given $Y_{T}$ is

$$
Y_{T+1 / T}=2.5+0.7 Y_{T}=2.5+0.7 \times 102.3=74.11
$$

